

# Supplementary Material - PropMix: Hard Sample Filtering and Proportional MixUp for Learning with Noisy Labels

Filipe R. Cordeiro<sup>1</sup>

filipe.rolim@ufrpe.br

Vasileios Belagiannis<sup>2</sup>

vasileios.belagiannis@uni-ulm.de

Ian Reid<sup>3</sup>

ian.reid@adelaide.edu.au

Gustavo Carneiro<sup>3</sup>

gustavo.carneiro@adelaide.edu.au

<sup>1</sup> Universidade Federal Rural

de Pernambuco

Recife, Brazil

<sup>2</sup> Universität Ulm

Ulm, Germany

<sup>3</sup> University of Adelaide

Adelaide, Australia

## 1 PropMix Algorithm

SOTA noise-robust classifiers [1, 2, 3] are formed by an ensemble of two classifiers, where the classifier structure is the same, but their parameters are denoted by  $\theta(1), \theta(2) \in \Theta$ . The training for  $\theta(1)$  influences  $\theta(2)$  and vice-versa, where this can be achieved by co-training [1, 2] or student-teacher [3] approaches. Our training relies on co-training. The estimation of class prediction for label estimation and evaluation are given by the average outputs of the models. The pseudo-code for the training of PropMix is shown in Algorithm 1.

## References

- [1] Junnan Li, Richard Socher, and Steven C. H. Hoi. DivideMix: Learning with Noisy Labels as Semi-supervised Learning. *arXiv e-prints*, art. arXiv:2002.07394, February 2020.
- [2] Sheng Liu, Jonathan Niles-Weed, Narges Razavian, and Carlos Fernandez-Granda. Early-learning regularization prevents memorization of noisy labels. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.00151*, 2020.
- [3] Duc Tam Nguyen, Chaithanya Kumar Mummadi, Thi Phuong Nhung Ngo, Thi Hoai Phuong Nguyen, Laura Beggel, and Thomas Brox. Self: Learning to filter noisy labels with self-ensembling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.01842*, 2019.

---

**Algorithm 1: PropMix (PM)**


---

```

1   $\mathcal{D}$ , number of epochs  $E$ , clean sample threshold  $\tau$ , hard sample threshold  $\tau'$ 
   // Self-supervised pre-training
2   $f_\phi(\mathbf{x}), \{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_i}\}_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{D}|} = \text{PreTrain}(\mathcal{D})$ 
   // Warm Up
3   $p_\theta(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \text{WarmUp}(\mathcal{D}, f_\phi(\mathbf{x}))$ 
4  while  $e < E$  do
   // Estimate sets of clean and noisy samples
5  for  $i = \{1, \dots, |\mathcal{D}|\}$  do
6  |   Estimate  $p(\text{clean}|\ell_i, \gamma)$ , with  $\ell_i = -\mathbf{y}_i^\top \log p_\theta(\cdot|\mathbf{x}_i)$ 
7  end
8   $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U} = \text{FormCleanNoisySets}(\{p(\text{clean}|\ell_i, \gamma)\}_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{D}|}, \tau)$ 
   // Estimate sets of hard noisy and easy noisy samples
9  for  $i = \{1, \dots, |\mathcal{U}|\}$  do
10 |   Estimate  $p(\text{hard}|\mathbf{y}_i^*(c), \gamma)$ , with  $\mathbf{y}_i^*(c) =_{c \in \mathcal{Y}} p(c|\mathbf{x}_i)$ 
11 end
12  $\mathcal{U}_H, \mathcal{U}_E = \text{FormHardEasySets}(\{p(\text{hard}|\mathbf{y}_i^*(c), \gamma)\}_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{U}|}, \tau')$ 
13 for  $b = 1$  to  $B$  do
14 |    $\{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{b,m}\}_{m=1}^M = \text{DataAugment}(\mathbf{x}_b \in \mathcal{X}, M)$ 
15 |    $\{\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{b,m}\}_{m=1}^M = \text{DataAugment}(\mathbf{u}_b \in \mathcal{U}_E, M)$ 
16 |    $\mathbf{p}_b = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{m=1, k=1}^{M, 2} f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{b,m}; \theta(k))$ 
17 |    $\mathbf{q}_b = \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{m=1, k=1}^{M, 2} f(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{b,m}; \theta(k))$ 
18 |    $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_b = \text{TempShrp}(w_b \mathbf{y}_b + (1 - w_b) \mathbf{p}_b; T)$ 
19 |    $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_b = \text{TempShrp}(\mathbf{q}_b; T)$ 
20 end
21  $\hat{\mathcal{X}} = \{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{b,m}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}_b\}_{m=1}^M, \hat{\mathcal{U}}_E = \{\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{b,m}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}_b\}_{m=1}^M$ 
22  $\hat{\mathcal{D}} = \text{ProportionalMixUp}(\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \hat{\mathcal{U}}_E)$ 
23 Update  $\theta(k1), \theta(k2)$  with  $\mathcal{L}$  from (5)
24 end

```

---